# OPEN MEETING

## MEMORANDUM RECEIVED





2009 OCT -b ₱ 3: 50

001-6000

Arizota - reconfigur Consession DOS. FR

TO:

RE:

THE COMMISSION

FROM: **Utilities Division** 

October 6, 2009 DATE:

UNS GAS, INC. - APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF A TEMPORARY

I CORP COMMISSION

DOCKET CONTROL

CUSTOMER CREDIT ADJUSTMENT FOR THE PERIOD NOVEMBER 2009

THROUGH OCTOBER 2010 (DOCKET NO. G-04204A-09-0459)

On September 22, 2009, UNS Gas, Inc. ("UNS Gas" or "the Company") filed an application for approval of a temporary customer credit adjustment, or surcredit, of "up to" \$0.08 per therm for the period of November 2009 through October 2010.

UNS Gas is a natural gas utility providing service in the counties of Apache, Coconino, Mohave, Navajo, Santa Cruz and Yavapai. As of July 2009, the Company had approximately 144,516 customers. Of these, 132,051 are Residential, with the remainder consisting of Commercial, Industrial, Municipal and Irrigation customers.

In discussion with Staff, the Company clarified its request regarding the proposed surcredit. It is requesting a specific surcredit of no more than \$0.08 per therm. The UNS Gas position is that, while \$0.08 is the correct level of surcredit to pay down the over-collection, a \$0.06 or \$0.07 surcredit would also be just and reasonable.

The Company has also requested that the surcredit be approved on an expedited basis. This would allow its customers to begin receiving the surcredit during the winter heating season. when therm-usage is at its highest.

### The UNS Gas PGA Mechanism

The Company recovers the cost of the gas, including its transportation costs, through a Purchased Gas Adjustor ("PGA") rate. Currently, the UNS Gas PGA rate is calculated based on a twelve-month rolling average, with a \$0.15 per therm annual bandwidth. The rolling average and bandwidth are designed to reduce the volatility of gas costs passed on to customers. The rolling average produces a more predictable and consistent PGA rate, while the bandwidth provides another brake on volatility by limiting changes (increases or decreases) to no more than \$0.15 per therm, over a year's time. These features of the PGA mechanism limit rate shocks to customers.

Like other gas utilities, while UNS Gas is not allowed to make a profit on the cost of the natural gas it provides, it is permitted to recover the cost of that gas. Differences between the THE COMMISSION October 6, 2009 Page 2

rolling average cost of gas and the actual cost of natural gas are tracked and recorded in the PGA bank balance, so that under-collections can be recovered by the Company and over-collections can be returned to ratepayers. A \$10 million threshold for over-collections ensures that over-collections will be returned to ratepayers once this upper limit is reached.

## Surcredit Options

Without the surcredit, the Company's projections indicate that its PGA bank balance will exceed the \$10 million threshold for over-collection in October 2009, and remain above the threshold through November 2010. (See the attached exhibit to review the level and duration of the over-collection, without a surcredit and under various surcredit options.)

Staff's analysis indicates that a surcredit is required to pay down the UNS Gas over-collection and would be in the public interest. In order to set an appropriate surcredit level, the advantages of paying down the over-collection in a timely fashion should be weighed against the possibility of contributing to later under-collections that may be burdensome to resolve. (When the Company is under-recovering for its cost of natural gas, a surcredit can increase an under-collected bank balance, making it more likely that a surcharge, or additional per-therm charge, would become necessary.) Several scenarios are discussed below.

Scenario 1: a surcharge of \$0.07 per therm or less would result in a larger over-collected balance at the end of 12 months and require slightly more time for the bank balance to reach zero. Staff believes that a higher surcharge would more efficiently pay down the over-collection, while still being unlikely to result in a burdensome under-collection in the period following the end of the surcredit.

Under Scenario 1, customer bills would be reduced by an estimated average of \$5.12 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$1.12 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.

Scenario 2: a surcharge of \$0.08 per therm, as recommended by the Company, would mean that an over-collection of \$3.2 million would remain at the end of 12 months, in October 2010. However, significant under-collections in November and December 2010 would eliminate the over-collected bank balance over the next two months, even without a surcredit in place. As a result, the PGA bank balance would be modestly (\$354,651) under-collected by the end of 2010. The Company projects that this under-collected balance would grow and remain in the \$4 to \$5 million range (exceeding \$5 million for two months) through at least December 2012. Based on these projections, UNS Gas indicated to Staff that the Company is unlikely to file for a surcharge to resolve this level of under-collection.

Under Scenario 2, customer bills would be reduced by an estimated average of \$5.85 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$1.28 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.

Scenario 3: a surcharge of \$0.09 per therm for 12 months would also eliminate the bank balance by the end of 2011, but the December under-collected balance would be higher at \$1,481,695. With a \$0.09 surcredit, under-collected balances projected for 2011 and 2012 would reach \$5.6 million by April 2011, remaining above \$5 million, and going as high as \$6.4 million, during the projected period ending in December 2012. Given the volatility of natural gas prices, this scenario increases the likelihood of developing an excessive under-collected bank balance.

Under Scenario 3, customer bills would be reduced by estimated average of \$6.58 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$1.44 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.

Scenario 4: the final surcharge is a sculpted surcharge that begins at \$0.10 per therm during high usage months, then declines by stages to \$0.01 over 13 months, decreasing to an over-collected bank balance of \$1,903,955 by November 2011. In this scenario, the over-collected bank balance would be eliminated in the next month, shifting to a \$518,441 under-collection by December 2010. With this sculpted surcredit, under-collected balances projected for 2011 and 2012 would reach \$4.6 million by April 2011, remaining below \$5 million for all but three months during the projected period ending in December 2012. This approach to designing the surcredit would decrease bills during high usage months more than alternate scenarios, but provide smaller decreases during low usage months.

Under Scenario 4, customer bills would be reduced by an estimated average of \$6.54 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$0.65 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.

Scenario 2, a surcredit of \$0.08 per therm, provides a reasonable balance between repaying ratepayers in a timely fashion and setting the surcredit at a level that is unlikely to contribute to an excessive under-collection. Staff recommends that the surcredit be set at \$0.08 per therm. Because the price of natural gas can vary dramatically over a short period of time, possibly taking the bank balance to zero sooner than expected, Staff also recommends that the period of the surcredit be set at 12 months, or until the bank balance reaches zero, whichever occurs first.

Steven M. Olea

Director

**Utilities Division** 

SMO:JMK:lhm\RM

ORIGINATOR: Julie McNeely-Kirwan

	Dec-10	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	2		Dec-10	(8,636,610)	(771,235)	354,651	1,401,093	+ 6		Dec-10	u u	200	00.00	00.04	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	6	78710	10.17	/10.1/	76.17	76.17	76.17
	Nov-10	90.08	00.08	80.00	\$0.03	- - - -		Nov-10	<del>69</del> (	(3,193,245) \$	(2,067,696) \$	(940,990) 4	* (ccs'cos')		Nov-10	66	200	00.04	90.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.32		01-000	27.04	40.72	40.72	40.72	40.40
	Oct-10	\$0.00	80.09	80.09	\$0.03 \$0.03	70:04		Oct-10	69	6 <del>9</del> (	(3,229,255) \$	(2,102,667) \$	(3,161,192)		04-10	5	77	90.00	45.F4	\$1.76	\$1.98	\$0.44	· ·	UCI-100	30.76	29.22	29.00	28.79	30.32
	Sep-10	\$0.00	0.09	60.00	60.09	50.05		Sep-10	<del>69</del>	49	(4,215,678) \$	<i>A</i> (	A		Cen 10	or dec	4 0	\$0.00	\$0.97	\$1.11	\$1.25	\$0.42	;	Sep-10	22.52	21.55	21.41	21.27	22 10
	Aug-10	\$0.00	0.04	00.00	\$0.08 0.08	\$0.0 <del>\$</del>		Aug-10	(13,043,588) \$	(5,877,362) \$	(4,851,519) \$	(3,825,677) \$	(4,239,550)		, til	-Sac	2 :	\$0.00	\$0.92	\$1.06	\$1.19	\$0.53	:	Aug-10	21.77	20.85	20.72	20.58	21.24
	Jul-10	\$0.00	40.07	\$0.00	80.08	90.04		Jul-10	€9	(6,515,378) \$	(5,522,930) \$	(4,530,482) \$	(4,778,797) \$		7	01-Inc	cr :	\$0.00	\$1.04	\$1.19	\$1.34	\$0.90		Jul-10	23.41	22.36	22.21	22.06	32.54
	Jun-10	\$0.00	\$0.0 <i>\</i>	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.0\$		Jun-10	(13,848,957) \$	(7,141,813) \$	(6,182,223) \$	(5,222,633) \$	(5,373,192) \$	alances.	9	Jun-10	16	\$0.00	\$1.13	\$1.30	\$1.46	\$0.97		Jun-10	24.57	23.43	23.27	23.11	
	May-10	\$0.00	\$0.07	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.06		Mav-10	(14,167,746) \$	(7,719,258) \$	\$ (96,967,9)	(5,874,613) \$	(5,914,189) \$	r-collected pank b	:	May-10	27	\$0.00	\$1.89	\$2.16	\$2.43	\$1.62		May-10	35.76	33.87	33,60	33,33	
	Apr-10	\$0.00	\$0.07	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.08		Anr.10	(14,205,945) \$	(8,086,664) \$	(7,211,671) \$	(6,336,678) \$	(6,235,083) \$	s represent under	•	Apr-10	54	\$0.00	\$3.77	\$4.31	\$4.85	\$4.31		Apr-10	63.57	59.80	59,26	58.72	
	Mar-10	\$0.00	\$0.07	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.08		May 10	927) \$	047) \$	(7,928,242) \$	(7,130,437) \$	(6,951,947) \$	not in parenthese:		Mar-10	62	\$0.00	\$4.37	\$4.99	\$5.62	\$4.99		Mar-10	72.30	67.93	67.30	66 68	,
	Feb-10	\$0.00	\$0.07	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.08	40.00	n Monan	73 398 952) \$ (13.681,562) \$ (14,306)	(9.042.119) \$		(7,715,945) \$	(7,403,030) \$	lances; number r		Feb-10	75	\$0.00	\$5.27	\$6.03	\$6.78	\$6.03	ate)	Feb-10	86.67	81.40	80.65	79.89	60.67
;	m Each Month Jan-10	\$0.00	\$0.07	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.10	1	at the End of Eac	Jan-10 (13 398.952) \$	(9 984 964)	(9,497,109) \$	(9,009,255) \$	(8,521,400) \$	ted PGA bank ba		Jan-10	108	\$0.00	\$7.54	\$8.62	89.68	\$10.77	arge and PGA ra	Jan-10	122.71	11517	114 09	113.01	13.03
;	or Credit Per Inel Dec-09	\$0.00	\$0.07	\$0.0\$	\$0.09	\$0.10		or Bank Balance	Dec-09	(10,675,470) \$		(10,075,944) \$	(9,776,182) \$	enote over-collec	_	Dec-09	99	\$0.00	\$4.63	85.29	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	\$6.61	onthly, delivery ch	Dec-09	79.86	75.23	74.57	12.07	19.57
	Projected Purchased Gas Adjustor Credit Per Therm Each Month Nov-09 Dec-09	\$0.00	\$0.07	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.10		Projected Purchased Gas Adjustor Bank Balance at the End of Each Month	Nov-09 Dec-09 (11 501 752) \$ (12 773 533) \$	(10,788,984) \$ (	• •	69	10,444,941) \$	Note: Numbers in parentheses denote over-collected PGA bank balances; number not in parentheses represent under-collected pank palances.	Projected Bill Impacts (decreases)	Nov-09	32	80.00	\$7.21	47.53	\$2.30 \$7.85	\$3.16	Projected Bill Impacts (totals, monthly, delivery charge and PGA rate)	60-yoN	42.78	99.07	40.00	20.00	39.93
EXHIBIT 1	Projected Purch	0 surcredit	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4		Projected Purch	·/ S tipososino O				Scenario 4 \$ (10,444,941)	Note: Numbers	Projected Bill In		Res Usag	0 surcredit	Scenario 1	Sconnic 2	Scenario 2	Scenario 4	Projected Bill In		D surpredit	Connaio 1	Scellailo	Scellario 2	Scenario 3

#### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1 2 KRISTIN K. MAYES Chairman 3 **GARY PIERCE** Commissioner 4 PAUL NEWMAN Commissioner 5 SANDRA D. KENNEDY Commissioner **BOB STUMP** 6 Commissioner 7 DOCKET NO. G-04204A-09-0459 8 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNS GAS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A DECISION NO. 9 TEMPORARY CUSTOMER CREDIT ADJUSTMENT FOR THE PERIOD ORDER 10 NOVEMBER 2009 THROUGH OCTOBER 2010 11 12 13 14 Open Meeting October 20 and 21, 2009 Phoenix, Arizona 15 16 BY THE COMMISSION: FINDINGS OF FACT 17 Background 18 UNS Gas, Inc. ("UNS Gas" or "the Company) is engaged in providing natural gas 19 1. within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission. 20 On September 22, 2009, UNS Gas filed an application for approval of a temporary 2. 21 customer credit adjustment, or surcredit, of "up to" \$0.08 per therm for the period of November 22 23 2009 through October 2010. UNS Gas provides service in the counties of Apache, Coconino, Mohave, Navajo, 24 3. Santa Cruz and Yavapai. As of July 2009, the Company had approximately 144,516 customers. 25 Of these, 132,051 are Residential, with the remainder consisting of Commercial, Industrial, 26 27 Municipal and Irrigation customers. 28

4. In discussion with Staff, the Company clarified its request regarding the proposed surcredit. It is requesting a specific surcredit of no more than \$0.08 per therm. The UNS Gas position is that, while \$0.08 is the correct level of surcredit to pay down the over-collection, a \$0.06 or \$0.07 surcredit would also be just and reasonable.

5. The Company has also requested that the surcredit be approved on an expedited basis. This would allow its customers to begin receiving the surcredit during the winter heating season, when therm-usage is at its highest.

## The UNS Gas PGA Mechanism

- 6. The Company recovers the cost of the gas, including its transportation costs, through a Purchased Gas Adjustor ("PGA") rate. Currently, the UNS Gas PGA rate is calculated based on a twelve-month rolling average, with a \$0.15 per therm annual bandwidth. The rolling average and bandwidth are designed to reduce the volatility of gas costs passed on to customers. The rolling average produces a more predictable and consistent PGA rate, while the bandwidth provides another brake on volatility by limiting changes (increases or decreases) to no more than \$0.15 per therm, over a year's time. These features of the PGA mechanism limit rate shocks to customers.
- 7. Like other gas utilities, while UNS Gas is not allowed to make a profit on the cost of the natural gas it provides, it is permitted to recover the cost of that gas. Differences between the rolling average cost of gas and the actual cost of natural gas are tracked and recorded in the PGA bank balance, so that under-collections can be recovered by the Company and over-collections can be returned to ratepayers. A \$10 million threshold for over-collections ensures that over-collections will be returned to ratepayers once this upper limit is reached.

## Surcredit Options

- 8. Without the surcredit, the Company's projections indicate that its PGA bank balance will exceed the \$10 million threshold for over-collection in October 2009, and remain above the threshold through November 2010.
- 9. Staff's analysis indicates that a surcredit is required to pay down the UNS Gas overcollection and would be in the public interest. In order to set an appropriate surcredit level, the

Decision No.	

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

advantages of paying down the over-collection in a timely fashion should be weighed against the possibility of contributing to later under-collections that may be burdensome to resolve. (When the Company is under-recovering for its cost of natural gas, a surcredit can increase an undercollected bank balance, making it more likely that a surcharge, or additional per-therm charge, would become necessary.) Several scenarios are discussed below.

- 10. Scenario 1: a surcharge of \$0.07 per therm or less would result in a larger overcollected balance at the end of 12 months and require slightly more time for the bank balance to reach zero. Staff believes that a higher surcharge would more efficiently pay down the overcollection, while still being unlikely to result in a burdensome under-collection in the period following the end of the surcredit.
- Under Scenario 1, customer bills would be reduced by an estimated average of 11. \$5.12 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$1.12 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.
- Scenario 2: a surcharge of \$0.08 per therm, as recommended by the Company, 12. would mean that an over-collection of \$3.2 million would remain at the end of 12 months, in October 2010. However, significant under-collections in November and December 2010 would eliminate the over-collected bank balance over the next two months, even without a surcredit in place. As a result, the PGA bank balance would be modestly (\$354,651) under-collected by the end of 2010. The Company projects that this under-collected balance would grow and remain in the \$4 to \$5 million range (exceeding \$5 million for two months) through at least December 2012. Based on these projections, UNS Gas indicated to Staff that the Company is unlikely to file for a surcharge to resolve this level of under-collection.
- 13. Under Scenario 2, customer bills would be reduced by an estimated average of \$5.85 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$1.28 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.
- 14. Scenario 3: a surcharge of \$0.09 per therm for 12 months would also eliminate the bank balance by the end of 2011, but the December under-collected balance would be higher at \$1,481,695. With a \$0.09 surcredit, under-collected balances projected for 2011 and 2012 would

Decision	No.		

reach \$5.6 million by April 2011, remaining above \$5 million, and going as high as \$6.4 million, during the projected period ending in December 2012. Given the volatility of natural gas prices, this scenario increases the likelihood of developing an excessive under-collected bank balance.

- 15. Under Scenario 3, customer bills would be reduced by an estimated average of \$6.58 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$1.44 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.
- 16. Scenario 4: the final surcharge is a sculpted surcharge that begins at \$0.10 per therm during high usage months, then declines by stages to \$0.01 over 13 months, decreasing to an over-collected bank balance of \$1,903,955 by November 2011. In this scenario, the over-collected bank balance would be eliminated in the next month, shifting to a \$518,441 under-collection by December 2010. With this sculpted surcredit, under-collected balances projected for 2011 and 2012 would reach \$4.6 million by April 2011, remaining below \$5 million for all but three months during the projected period ending in December 2012. This approach to designing the surcredit would decrease bills during high usage months more than alternate scenarios, but provide smaller decreases during low usage months.
- 17. Under Scenario 4, customer bills would be reduced by an estimated average of \$6.54 during the five highest usage (winter) months and an average of \$0.65 during the five lowest usage (summer) months.
- 18. Scenario 2, a surcredit of \$0.08 per therm, provides a reasonable balance between repaying ratepayers in a timely fashion and setting the surcredit at a level that is unlikely to contribute to an excessive under-collection. Staff has recommended that the surcredit be set at \$0.08 per therm. Because the price of natural gas can vary dramatically over a short period of time, possibly taking the bank balance to zero sooner than expected, Staff has also recommended that the period of the surcredit be set at 12 months, or until the bank balance reaches zero, whichever occurs first.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. UNS Gas is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

Decision No.	

	1				
1	2. T	he Commission has	jurisdiction over UNS	Gas and over the subj	ect matter of the
2	application.				
3	3. T	he Commission, hav	ring reviewed the app	lication and Staff's Men	morandum dated
4	October 6, 2009,	, concludes that it is	in the public interest	to approve a temporary	customer credit
5	(or surcredit).				
6			<u>ORDER</u>		
7	IT IS TH	IEREFORE ORDER	ED that a \$0.08 per-t	herm surcredit be estab	lished beginning
8	November 1, 20	009, to continue for	twelve months, or u	until the UNS Gas, Inc	c. purchased gas
9	adjustor bank ba	lance reaches zero, v	whichever occurs first.		
0	IT IS FU	RTHER ORDERED	that this Decision sha	all become effective imp	nediately.
1	BY T	HE ORDER OF TH	HE ARIZONA CORI	PORATION COMMIS	SION
2					
3	C	HAIRMAN		COMMISSIONER	
4					
15					
16	COMMISSION	ER	COMMISSIONER	COM	MISSIONER
18				EREOF, I, ERNEST f the Arizona Corporati	
19			have hereunto, set n this Commission to	ny hand and caused the be affixed at the Capito	e official seal of ol, in the City of
20			Phoenix, this	_day of	, 2009.
21					
22			EDVECE C. TOLDIC	ONI	
23			ERNEST G. JOHNS EXECUTIVE DIRECT		
24					
25	DISSENT:				
26					
27					
28	SMO:JMK:lhm	\RM			
				Decision No	

1	SERVICE LIST FOR: UNS Gas, Inc.
2	DOCKET NO. G-04204A-09-0459
3	Mr. Michael W. Patten
4	Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC One Arizona Center
5	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
7	Mr. Philip J. Dion UniSource Energy Services
8	One South Church Avenue, Suite 200 Tucson, Arizona 85701
9	
10 .	Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division
11	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	Ms. Janice M. Alward
14	Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
15	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Decision No.

Dec-10 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Dec-10 (8,636,610) (771,235) 354,651 1,481,695 518,441	Dec-10 66 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Dec-10 76.17 76.17 76.17 76.17
Nov-10 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Nov-10 (11,058,957) \$ ((1,058,957) \$ (3,193,245) \$ (2,067,696) \$ (940,990) \$ (1,903,955) \$	Nov-10 32 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.32	Nov-10 40.72 40.72 40.72 40.40
0ct-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08	Oct-10 (4,355,622) \$ (7,325,622) \$ (2,102,887) \$ (3,181,192) \$	0ct-10 22 \$0.00 \$1.54 \$1.76 \$1.78 \$0.44	. Oct-10 30.76 29.22 29.00 28.79 30.32
Sep-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08	Sep-10 (12,689,133) \$ ( (5,277,001) \$ (3,154,567) \$ (3,779,391) \$	Sep-10 14 \$0.00 \$0.97 \$1.11 \$1.25	Sep-10 22.52 21.55 21.41 21.27 22.10
Aug-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08	0 00 00 00	Aug-10 13 \$0.00 \$0.92 \$1.06 \$1.19 \$0.53	Aug-10 21.77 20.85 20.72 20.58 21.24
Jul-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08 \$0.09	Jul-10 Aug-10 \$ (13,450,224) \$ (13,043,588) \$ (6,515,378) \$ (5,877,362) \$ (5,522,930) \$ (4,851,519) \$ (4,530,482) \$ (3,825,677) \$ (4,778,797) \$ (4,239,550)	15 \$0.00 \$1.04 \$1.19 \$1.19 \$0.90	Jul-10 23.41 22.36 22.21 22.06 22.51
\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08 \$0.09	n-10 ,957) ,813) ,223) ,633)	Jun-10 16 \$0.00 \$1.13 \$1.30 \$1.46 \$0.97	Jun-10 24.57 23.43 23.27 23.11 23.59
May-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08 \$0.09	May-10 (14,167,746) \$ ( (7,719,258) \$ (6,796,936) \$ (5,814,189) \$ -collected bank ba	May-10 27 \$0.00 \$1.89 \$2.16 \$2.43 \$1.62	May-10 35.76 33.87 33.60 33.33 34.14
Apr-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08 \$0.09	Apr-10 (14,205,945) \$ ( (8,086,684) \$ (7,211,971) \$ (6,336,678) \$ (6,235,083) \$ i represent under-4	Apr-10 54 \$0.00 \$3.77 \$4.31 \$4.85	Apr-10 63.57 59.80 59.26 58.72 59.26
Mar-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.09 \$0.09	Mar-10 (14,306,927) \$ (8,726,047) \$ (7,928,242) \$ (7,130,437) \$ (6,951,947) \$ not in parentheses	Mar-10 62 50.00 \$4.37 \$4.99 \$5.62 \$4.99	Mar-10 72.30 67.93 67.30 66.68
Feb-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08	ach Month Feb-10 \$ (13,681,562) \$ ( \$ (9,042,119) \$ \$ (7,715,945) \$ \$ (7,715,945) \$ \$ (7,403,030) \$	Feb-10 75 \$0.00 \$5.27 \$6.03 \$6.03	e) Feb-10 86.67 81.40 80.65 79.89
n Each Month Jan-10 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.08 \$0.08	at the End of Each Jan-10 (13,398,952) \$ ( (9,964,964) \$ (9,497,109) \$ (9,009,255) \$ (8,521,400) \$	Jan-10 108 \$0.00 \$7.54 \$8.62 \$9.69	arge and PGA rat Jan-10 122.71 115.17 114.09 113.01
Credit Per Therr Dec-09 \$0.00 \$0.07 \$0.09 \$0.09	(or Bank Balance a Dec-09 (12,773,533) \$ ((10,675,470) \$ (10,375,707) \$ (10,075,944) \$ (9,776,182) \$ fenote over-collect	Dec-09 66 \$0.00 \$4.63 \$5.29 \$5.29 \$6.61	nthly, delivery ch. Dec-09 79.86 75.23 74.57 73.91
EXHIBIT 1           Projected Purchased Gas Adjustor Credit Per Therm Each Month Nov-09 Dec-09 Jan-10           Scarcredit         \$0.00         \$0.00         \$0.00           Scenario 1         \$0.07         \$0.07         \$0.07           Scenario 2         \$0.08         \$0.08         \$0.08           Scenario 3         \$0.09         \$0.09         \$0.09           Scenario 4         \$0.10         \$0.10         \$0.10	) stc () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	Projected Bill Impacts (decreases) Nov-09 Res. Usag 32 0 surcredit \$0.00 Scenario 1 \$2.21 Scenario 2 \$2.53 Scenario 3 \$2.85	Projected Bill Impacts (totals, monthly, delivery charge and PGA rate)         Nov-09       Dec-09       Jan-10         Scenario 1       42.78       79.86       122.71         Scenario 1       40.56       75.23       115.17         Scenario 2       40.25       74.57       114.09         Scenario 3       39.93       73.91       113.01         Scenario 4       39.61       73.25       111.94
EXHIBIT 1 Projected Purcha 0 surcredit Scenario 2 Scenario 4 Scenario 4	Projected Purchased Gas Ac Nov-09 0 surcredit \$ (11,591,752) Scenario 1 \$ (10,788,984) Scenario 2 \$ (10,574,303) Scenario 2 \$ (10,574,303) Scenario 3 \$ (10,549,41) Note: Numbers in parenthes	Projected Bill Impress. Usag 0 surcredit Scenario 1 Scenario 2 Scenario 3 Scenario 3	Projected Bill Im  0 surcredit Scenario 1 Scenario 2 Scenario 3 Scenario 3

Decision No.	
--------------	--